INVESTIGATIONS OF INTERNAL INTERACTIONS BETWEEN THE PARASITIC BARNACLE **Let us the LOXOTHALACUS TEXANUS TEXANUS EXAMPLES** (RHIZOCEPHALA: SACCULINIDAE) AND ITS $HOST$ E E (BRACHYURA: PORTUNIDAE) USING PCR TECHNIQUES

Timothy D. Sherman, Emily Boone, Ashley B. Morris, Andrew Woodard, Emily Goldman, Daniel L. Martin, Christy Gautier, and Jack J. O'Brien

(TDS, corresponding author: tsherman@jaguar1.usouthal.edu); (TDS, ABM, AW, DLM, EG, CG, JJOB) Department of Biological Sciences,

LSCB Room 124, University of South Ala**(oxime**en)**/oboth, Adabamag 36688tilemenA** of a male

(EB) Department of Biology, University of Richmond, Rithmond, Virgin at 231 73, Jigg Anlized

male trichogon larva, the external portion of the adult

rhizocephalan (now the externa) expands and eventually A B Soctubies the area of the host that would contain the fertilized

occupies the area of the host that would contain the fertilized

We describe techniques that enable the preservation of tissues from the the programmatic barnacle, inside the body inside the body cavities of blue crab hosts, \ldots , in a manner that minimizes the degradative activities of hepatopancreatic enzymes. These procedures allow the extraction and amplification of both parasite and host 18S rDNA within the same is under and enable one to distinguish between parasitized and unparasitized crab tissue_{ti}in as hittle ras two **aver**issiation, infection, well before anny external manifestations of the parasites. Two PCR-based approaches were_ldaren to identify the onestimate that stages are undetected by proach, a set of primers specific for J. was used to specifically amplify **18Sexequence mination. Refunctages** from cyp**ris AetulethenDNA** of other barnacle species. In the second approach, a set of general primdly washusut assafill lide vrasl seluleafetthan fill to 1p-188 hdinten heef (ur 1p8452.8 (ar,)7)74 (eaft)]T barnacle species. The products of this PCR were then digested with an enzyme that recognizes a restriction site present only in the pc PCR product to yield a unique pattern of fragments. With these techniques, we could detect as few as five parasitic cypris larvae in water samples, as well as $\qquad \qquad$ in the tissue of a small crab collected from the field and in the four anterior periopods of a crab bearing the external stage of the parasite. In experiments with potential hosts of varying sizes and molt stages, we confrmed that the parasite was eggs of an unparasitized adult female host crab (Høeg, 1987; Høeg et al., 2005). Although vermigon larvae can be seen under thin areas of the host cuticle for a brief period immediately after infectibh (Gleither^a&t 41°C, 2000); Lailorenae, 2001), well-practical

gion tatties the hos-22

the hostug@leihe 2001; Lawrence, 2001). The vermigon migrates through the hemocoel and eventually settles posterior to the cardiac stomach of the host. Here it develops into the interna stage and is thought to grow along the intestine and send rootlets out into the hepatopancreas and other organs (Høeg, 1995; Glenner, 2001). After fve to nine molts in residence, a virgin externa extrudes from the abdominal cavity of the

Fig. 1. Loxo3 primer specificity. PCR was conducted on $infected$ \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots externa, and the sessile barnacle eburne PCR was conducted in the presence of the general primer pair (\overline{H} I and 329) (lanes 1-3) or the conducted in the precise specific primer pair (lanes (HI and 329) (lanes 1-3) or the $\qquad \qquad$, species-specific primer pair (lanes 4-6). [lanes $1 \& 4 - \cdot$, \cdot , DNA, lanes $2 \& 5$ - DNA from the cheliped of an infected crab, and lanes 3 & 6 - the externa of a parasitized crab (parasite tissue).]

results below), we developed a second approach to determine the presence of checks. DNA that would help to avoid this problem. We used all 18S sequences previously downloaded from GenBank (see above) to screen for restriction sites specific to combined contains we did this using CLC Combined Workbench 2 (CLC Bio, Cambridge, MA, USA), which screens the REBASE database for all the restriction enzymes with cut sites in the provided sequences. We edited the GenBank sequences to include only the fragments that would be generated by the universal 18S crustacean primers HI and 329 of Spears et al. (1994). The enzyme screen identified I (Fermentas International Inc., Glen Burnie, MD, USA) as one of several enzymes that had a RFLP pattern specific to $\qquad \qquad$. The enzyme was used according to the manufacturer's recommendations and digestion products were separated using 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis. Fragment patterns were compared among samples.

Effect of Crab Size on Infection Success

Juvenile . carapace width 10.1-56.3 mm) were collected at Airport Marsh, Dauphin Island, AL. Carapace width measurements were recorded for parasitized crabs obtained over a period of fve years from 1999 to 2004. Crabs with externae that were about to release larvae could be recognized by their dark brown mantle cavity and were isolated in separate, aerated 19 L buckets of fltered seawater (25 ppt). The larvae are non-feeding and were maintained in aerated buckets until the cypris stage was reached (O'Brien, 1999).

Crabs were exposed to parasites by placing them in 10 L of seawater containing an undetermined number of cypris larvae in aerated 75 L aquaria for three days. The cuticle of each crab was then examined for signs of larval settlement. Only individuals with at least one visible kentrogon were

used in the remainder of the experiment. Following we33.9 (witp326.6 (ce)]TJT*9 (maint7 (d3.83e7 2 (m,2D(ce)]TJT*9 (mai3.82wa(an)6.2sed)-125.8 (to)-125q.9 (Size)-

L,

Fig. 5. Representation of the external and internal features of the rhizocephalan, $\qquad \qquad$, showing the root system of the parasitic barnacle extending into the periopods of the host crab, \sim from Boas (1920, p. 302).

This suggests that smaller size at parasitic anecdysis is infuenced more by the initial success of the infection rather than subsequent factors such as predation that affect host survival. The lack of successful infection of larger juvenile crabs and the overall low rates of infection following penetration by the stylet of the kentrogon larvae (as well as low infection rates seen in feld populations) suggests that the host crab may be able to mount some type of immune response against the parasite during the initial stages of infection. The fact that successful infection by rhizocephalans involves more than mere access to hosts is reinforced by data from Ritchie and Høeg (1981) who reported that over 75% of a group of hosts (210) did not become infected following exposure to infective larvae, even though the vulnerability of the potential hosts had been increased by removal of their cleaning appendages.

Permeation of the crab host by the mature parasite is

commercial trapping may increase the relative abundance of parasitized hosts, a situation that may increase the deleterious impact of the parasite on future yields (Kuris and Lafferty, 1992). In 2002, the blue crab industry brought in 172.2 million pounds valued at over \$129 million dollars